

**SACRAMENTO RESPONSE  
ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION**

**1(b) Qualified professionals that reside between 45 minutes and 90 minutes from the proposed building.**

**Sacramento Recommendation:** No recommendation (received 0). Sacramento feels that the existing base of biomedical professionals has been over-weighted in the ranking process to date.

**Evaluation Team Analysis:** The RFP did not expressly request data for over 45 minutes. No proposal provided this information. All proposals received a score of 0.

**Evaluation Team Conclusion:** NO CHANGE

**3. No/low cost conference facilities and access to no/low cost hotel facilities.**

**3(a) Conditions under which facilities will be available (cost, number of years available, stringency and other conditions.)**

**Sacramento Recommendation:** Sacramento should receive the full 5 points (received 2). The proposal on page 4 indicates that conference facilities are available at no charge to the CIRM. Page 13 notes that 150 or more participants can be accommodated at virtually anytime. This offers the CIRM maximum flexibility with respect to the scheduling of its conferences at no cost for ten years.

**Evaluation Team Analysis:** Based on this clarification, the evaluation team determined that it had not taken into account the fact that all conference facilities are offered free of charge. Sacramento should receive more points in this category than Emeryville, which offers conference facilities and discounted hotel rooms for only 4 years (Sacramento offers ten.) Sacramento should receive fewer points than San Francisco, which offers ten years of no cost conference facilities as well as no cost hotel rooms (Sacramento offers discounted hotel rooms only.)

**Evaluation Team Conclusion:** AWARD 4 POINTS.

**Original Total Score:       133**  
**Revised Total Score:       135**