Grants WORKING GROUP Search Subcommittee TIMELINE

January 25, 2005

1st OPEN teleconference meeting of the Grants Working Group Search Subcommittee -criteria, process, and timeline for selection voted agreed upon by the committee

February 14, 2005

Deadline for submission of nominations

March 8, 2005

Grants Search Subcommittee interview teams receive names of candidates

March 18, 2005

Second Public Teleconference meeting of the Grants Search Subcommittee -devoted to careful consideration of a uniform process for recruiting candidates for this Working Group

March 21, 2005

Recruitment letter from Zach Hall, Robert Klein, and Ed Penhoet sent to each of the "Top Tier" candidates

-- "Yes" responses received forwarded to the relevant interview teams as an information item.

Invitation for Disease Advocate
Members of the ICOC to consider
service on this committee sent via
email from Ed Holmes and
Michael Friedman-Chairs of the
Grants and Facilities Search
committees

April 7, 2005

Conflict of Interest Policy for Grants Working Group members ratified by the ICOC

April 15, 2005

COI policy sent to Working Group candidates who had agreed to serve and been forwarded by the interview teams to the CIRM

April 19, 2005

Deadline for submission of candidates to the CIRM for followup contact of candidates by Zach Hall

(extended to April 22, 2005)

April 18-April 25, 2005

Zach Hall interviewed candidates submitted by the interview teams (39 Total)

April 26, 2005

3rd Meeting of the Grants Search Selection Subcommittee to discuss recommendations for membership

~800 Candidates "Top Tier Candidates" "Second Tier ~200 Candidates Candidates' who came highly ~600 Candidates recommended largely from Who's from a source Who in Stem Cell other than Who's Research Who in Stem Cell Research

Names of candidates were derived from MULTIPLE sources:

- Scientfic Peer recommendations
- NAS Directory of highly regarded experts in the field
- Professional Societies
- Patient advocacy groups
- Extensive review of the invited speaker rosters at national and international stem cell related conferences
- Members of the general public
- Who's Who in Stem Cell Research

ELIGIBILITY

Candidate eligibility as ratified by the Grants Working Group Search Subcommittee on , was based on the following:

- Must be "[o]utstanding and highly recognized experts in the field of stem cell research, including biomedical research that is necessary to develop therapies to implement stem cell research." -MAY INCLUDE-Scientists who are not doing stem cell research but are considered experts in biomedical research subsumed by and related to stem cell research are eligible for membership.
- Must have had substantial publications of their research
- Must be willing to make time commitment
- Must reside and practice outside the state of California
- Must have especially broad experience within the field of grant review.
- Must not have any conflict of interest (based on the CIRM conflict of interest policy for Grants Working Group membership rartified 4-07-05)

~800 names were distributed equally and randomly among 6 two-person interview teams in groups known as "Tier 1" (recommended) or "Tier2" (largely Who's Who). "Tier 1" candidate packages contained extensive background info including CV, biosketch, publication list and research summary (where available on the internet).

6 candidates identified as more appropriate for the Standards Working Group and removed from Grants consideration (Week of March

14th)

The 6 interview teams were given 6 weeks to meet as a team, rank, and interview their top candidates, and forward their recommendations of those candidates willing to serve on the Working Group to the office of Zach Hall at the CIRM

INTERVIEW PROCESS: STAGE I

This was a two-stage interview process:
Stage I: The interview teams present the candidate with information on the role of the Grant reviewer, the history and import of the CIRM and Prop 71, the possible review cycles, compensation and gauge the candidates interest. The individuals who say "Yes" to serving as either "ad hoc" or "regular" members of the Grants Working Group are forwarded to the CIRM

PLAN: EACH INTERVIEW TEAM TO SEND THE CIRM 5 CANDIDATES, 3 ALTERNATES

CANDIDATES WHO AGREED TO SERVE
IN SOME CAPACITY WERE FORWARDED TO THE CIRM
BY INTERVIEW TEAMS.

CANDIDATES SUBMITTED TO THE CIRM FOR STAGE II OF THE INTERVIEW PROCESS:

- SOME IDENTIFIED AS INELIGIBLE DUE TO HAVING CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
- 1 WAS WITHDRAWN FROM CONSIDERATION DUE TO BEING ON MEDICAL LEAVE
- 4 WERE CONFIRMED AS ELIGIBLE AND WILLING TO SERVE AS AD HOC MEMBERS
- 22 WERE CONFIRMED AS ELIGIBLE AND WILLING TO SERVE AS REGULAR MEMBERS (8 unconfirmed as of 4-25-05)

INTERVIEW PROCESS: STAGE II

Candidates who agreeD to serve were contacted by the CIRM acting President, Zach Hall. Dr. Hall assessed their continued interest in serving on the Working Group after carefully reviewing with them:

- 1) The CIRM conflict of interest policy
- 2) The time commitment likely required of the position
- 3) The term of service
- 4) The fact that their candidacy will be discussed in public forum

Ad Hoc Members 15 Scientists 7 Disease Advocate Members of the ICOC

DISEASE ADVOCATE MEMBERSHIP

An Invitation for Disease Advocate
Members of the ICOC to consider service
on this committee sent via email from Ed
Holmes and Michael Friedman-Chairs of
the Grants and Facilities Search
committees-7 members responded as
being willing to serve