

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

BEFORE THE
ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP
OF THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: AUGUST 7, 2024
9 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR
CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2024-32

**133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864
208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM**

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

I N D E X

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER	3
2. ROLL CALL	3
3. PATIENT ACCESS PROGRAM UPDATES	4
4. REVIEW STRATEGIC ALLOCATION FRAMEWORK GOAL 5 AND POTENTIAL RECOMMENDATIONS	14
5. PUBLIC COMMENT	NONE
6. ADJOURNMENT	40

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

AUGUST 7, 2024; 9 A.M.

CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: GREAT. THANK YOU, EVERYONE FOR BEING HERE. I WANT TO WELCOME YOU ALL TO THIS MEETING OF THE ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP. MY COLLEAGUES, GEOFF AND ROSA, WILL BE PRESENTING TODAY. AND I JUST WANTED TO REMIND EVERYONE THAT CIRM IS UNDERGOING A STRATEGIC ALLOCATION FRAMEWORK OR PRIORITIZATION OF HOW WE WILL BE ALLOCATING MONEY OVER THE COURSE OF THE NEXT SEVERAL YEARS AND WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR.

PART OF THIS GROUP'S TASK IS TO UNDERSTAND HOW WE CAN BE HELPFUL TO PROVIDE SUGGESTIONS ON HOW TO GET SOME OF THESE PRODUCTS THROUGH AND ALSO HOW TO PROVIDE A FRAMEWORK FOR ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY AT THE SAME TIME.

SO, GEOFF, IF YOU WANT TO START, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

DR. LOMAX: YES. LET ME START WITH THE ROLL.

MARIA BONNEVILLE.

CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: PRESENT.

DR. LOMAX: KIM BARRETT.

DR. BARRETT: PRESENT.

DR. LOMAX: DAN BERNAL. DAVID HIGGINS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 VITO IMBASCIANI.
2 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: PRESENT.
3 DR. LOMAX: PAT LEVITT.
4 DR. LEVITT: PRESENT.
5 DR. LOMAX: ADRIANA PADILLA.
6 DR. PADILLA: HERE.
7 DR. LOMAX: ANN BOYNTON.
8 DR. BOYNTON: HERE.
9 DR. LOMAX: JAMES DEBENEDETTI.
10 MR. DEBENEDETTI: HERE.
11 DR. LOMAX: DANA DORNSIFE. TED GOLDSTEIN.
12 DARIUS LAKDAWALLA. HARLAN LEVINE.
13 DR. LEVINE: PRESENT.
14 DR. LOMAX: AMMAR QADAN.
15 DR. QADAN: PRESENT.
16 DR. LOMAX: MAHESWARI SENTHIL. ADRIENNE
17 SHAPIRO.
18 MS. SHAPIRO: PRESENT.
19 DR. LOMAX: CHRISTINA HARTMAN.
20 DR. HARTMAN: PRESENT.
21 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. GEOFF,
22 IF YOU'D LIKE TO START WITH THE PRESENTATION, THAT
23 WOULD BE WONDERFUL.
24 DR. LOMAX: IT'S NOT MOVING. CAN I GET
25 THE NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. THANK YOU.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WE HAVE BROKEN THE AGENDA INTO TWO PARTS.
2 FIRST WE'D LIKE TO PROVIDE SOME SHORT UPDATES ON
3 PROGRAM ACTIVITIES FOLLOWED BY AN
4 IN-DEPTH DISCUSSION OF OUR STRATEGIC ALLOCATION
5 FRAMEWORK WHERE WE INTEND TO DEDICATE THE MAJORITY
6 OF THE MEETING TIME. SO LET ME JUST BEGIN WITH SOME
7 UPDATES. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE.

8 FIRST OF ALL, ON THE PATIENT SUPPORT
9 PROGRAM, WE'D LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE OUR NEWEST TEAM
10 MEMBER, BLANCA BATLLE. BLANCA BRINGS OVER 20 YEARS
11 OF EXPERIENCE IN THE BIOTECH INDUSTRY WITH EXPERTISE
12 SPANNING PROGRAM MANAGEMENT, LAUNCH READINESS,
13 TRAINING, AND ACCESS REIMBURSEMENT. HER AREAS OF
14 FOCUS LIE IN THE ACCESS AND REIMBURSEMENT DOMAIN
15 WHERE SHE HAS EXCELLED IN LEADING PATIENT ASSISTANCE
16 PROGRAMS, FACILITATING PATIENT ONBOARDING, AND
17 PROVIDING FIELD SUPPORT. BLANCA IS LEADING UP THE
18 EFFORT TO DESIGN THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM AROUND
19 THE NEEDS OF OUR CLINICAL PARTNERS. AND WE REALLY
20 APPRECIATE HER EFFORT.

21 AS PART OF THAT PROCESS, WE HAD A KICKOFF
22 MEETING. WE HAD THAT BEFORE THE MAY MEETING, BUT WE
23 SKIPPED THIS UPDATE. SO WE WERE GOING TO UPDATE YOU
24 IN MAY ON THE KICKOFF. AND THEN WE'VE BEEN MEETING
25 WEEKLY WITH THE AWARDEE EVERSANA WITH THE AIM OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PILOTING THE SUPPORT PROGRAM IN THE FINAL QUARTER OF
2 THIS YEAR AND THE FIRST QUARTER OF '25. NEXT SLIDE
3 PLEASE.

4 THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE
5 PROGRAM, I'D LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE EMILY CROTTI FOR
6 HER EFFORTS. SHE'S REALLY LED THE ORGANIZING AND
7 MANAGEMENT OF THE APPLICATION OF THE RFA AND
8 APPLICATION PROCESS.

9 WE'VE SPONSORED TWO WEBINARS. THE FIRST
10 WEBINAR PROVIDED AN OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAM AIMS AND
11 OBJECTIVES, AND THE SECOND FOCUSED ON THE MECHANICS
12 OF THE APPLICATION AND BUDGETING PROCESS.

13 THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE
14 PROGRAM IS ATTRACTING A NUMBER OF APPLICANTS THAT
15 ARE NEW TO THE CIRM PROCESS. SO THESE WEBINARS
16 PROVIDED AN OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THEIR QUESTIONS.

17 AND WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO ALSO
18 ACKNOWLEDGE THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT REVIEW TEAMS AS
19 THEIR EXPERTISE HAS BEEN ESSENTIAL FOR GUIDING
20 APPLICANTS AND RECRUITING QUALIFIED REVIEWERS.

21 NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.

22 IN JUNE THE NIH ISSUED A REQUEST FOR
23 INFORMATION ON A PROPOSED POLICY REQUIRING ACCESS
24 PLANS FOR CLINICAL RESEARCH PROGRAMS WITHIN ITS
25 INTRAMURAL RESEARCH PROGRAM, IT'S MAIN FUNDING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 PORTFOLIO. THE DEADLINE TO COMMENT ON THIS REQUEST
2 FOR INFORMATION WAS IN JULY, WHICH WAS BETWEEN AAWG
3 MEETINGS. SO WE CONVENED A SMALL GROUP OF ADVISORS
4 TO PROVIDE FEEDBACK AND COMMENTS ON THE POLICY.
5 THIS FEEDBACK HAS BEEN POSTED ON THE WEBSITE AS PART
6 OF THE MEETING NOTICE.

7 TO SUMMARIZE, I THINK THE SPIRIT OF OUR
8 COMMENTS, WE SUGGESTED THE OVERALL APPROACH COULD BE
9 MERITORIOUS, IN FACT IT'S CONSISTENT WITH SOME OF
10 THE RECOMMENDATIONS WE'RE GOING TO CONSIDER TODAY,
11 BUT NOTED THAT THE NIH EMPHASIZED MANY STRATEGIES
12 THAT MAKE IT INCUMBENT ON THE INVESTIGATOR OR
13 SPONSOR TO OFFER FLEXIBLE IP OR LICENSING AGREEMENT
14 TERMS.

15 SO WE EMPHASIZED IN THE CONTEXT OF CELL
16 AND GENE THERAPIES ONE MUST BE AWARE OF THE
17 SUBSTANTIAL DEMAND SIDE OR MARKET BARRIERS THAT
18 LIMIT AVAILABILITY AND SUSTAINABILITY OF CELL AND
19 GENE THERAPY PRODUCTS. AND WE OFFERED SOME EXAMPLES
20 OF ACTIONS NIH COULD TAKE TO ADDRESS THESE BARRIERS.

21 WE FELT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO COMMENT
22 BECAUSE THE NIH EFFORT REPRESENTS A PROPOSAL FOR A
23 FOUNDATIONAL NATIONAL POLICY FRAMEWORK TO PROMOTE
24 ACCESS TO PRODUCTS STEMMING FROM CLINICAL RESEARCH.
25 AND, AGAIN, THIS POLICY FRAMEWORK APPEARS CONSISTENT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WITH OUR STRATEGIC ALLOCATION FRAMEWORK DISCUSSION.
2 SO THAT'S ALL I HAVE IN THE WAY OF
3 UPDATES. I'LL PAUSE FOR A MOMENT TO SEE IF THERE'S
4 ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS. IF NOT, I CAN TURN IT
5 OVER TO ROSA WHO INITIATE THE SECOND PART OF THE
6 MEETING AGENDA TODAY.

7 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: ANYONE HAVE ANY
8 COMMENTS?

9 DR. LEVITT: I WAS GOING TO ASK -- THIS IS
10 PAT. I WAS GOING TO ASK. THE CTSI PROGRAM HAS A
11 MAJOR FOCUS ON COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, INCLUDING THE
12 COMPONENTS OF AAWG. I'M WONDERING WHETHER THOSE
13 INDIVIDUALS, THOSE PROGRAM FOLKS WHO OVERSEE THAT,
14 HAVE THERE BEEN ANY CONVERSATIONS WITH THEM ABOUT
15 WHAT THEY'RE LOOKING FOR? THERE'S RENEWABLES GOING
16 IN ALL THE TIME. I WAS JUST AT A MEETING YESTERDAY
17 FOR THE ONE HERE. AND I THINK WE SPENT MORE THAN
18 HALF THE TIME TALKING ABOUT COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND
19 ACCESS, ETC. SO JUST WONDERING IF THE NIH
20 ENGAGEMENT INCLUDED THE CTSI PROGRAMS WHICH THERE
21 ARE A NUMBER OF THEM IN CALIFORNIA.

22 DR. LOMAX: SO IN THE REQUEST FOR
23 INFORMATION THEY CERTAINLY AS A CATEGORY OF -- AS A
24 STRATEGY THEY CALLED OUT COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AS A
25 MAJOR ACTIVITY. I DON'T RECALL IF THEY CALLED OUT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE CTSI PROGRAMS SPECIFICALLY. BUT OBVIOUSLY I
2 THINK IN OUR CASE TYPICALLY, BECAUSE THE ALPHA
3 CLINICS HAVE REALLY INTEGRATED WITH THEIR CTSI
4 PROGRAMS, THAT WOULD BE A MAJOR -- THAT RELATIONSHIP
5 WOULD BE IMPORTANT TOWARDS THIS EFFORT.

6 BUT CERTAINLY THE ACTIVITIES THEMSELVES
7 WERE, I THINK, ONE AMONG FIVE MAJOR ACTIVITIES THAT
8 NIH RECOMMENDED AS PART OF THE ACCESS PLANNING.

9 DR. LEVITT: YEAH. SO IT MAY BE -- I
10 MENTIONED CIRM YESTERDAY WHEN I WAS AT THAT MEETING
11 HERE THAT INCLUDED -- THAT WAS THE USC/CHLA CTSI.
12 TOM BUCHANAN WAS QUITE ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT THINKING
13 ABOUT HOW TO ENGAGE WITH YOU ALL TO SEE HOW THINGS
14 CAN BE ALIGNED, WHICH WOULD LEVERAGE -- HAVE
15 RESOURCES -- YOU HAVE RESOURCES, LEVERAGING THOSE
16 WITHOUT -- I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT EXCHANGING FINANCE
17 OR ANYTHING, BUT PLANNING SO THAT THEY'RE ALIGNED,
18 WHICH MEANS THAT THE MORE WE GET DONE IN TERMS OF
19 COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, WOULD BE SOMETHING TO HAVE A
20 CONVERSATION ABOUT. IF YOU WANT AN INTRO. I THINK
21 SOME OF YOU ON THE ZOOM KNOW TOM. I THINK UCLA HAS
22 ONE, UCSF.

23 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: YES.

24 DR. LEVITT: I THINK IT'S WORTH
25 INVESTIGATING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: I THINK PART OF
2 WHAT GEOFF WAS ALLUDING TO IS THAT THE ALPHA CLINICS
3 HAVE USED THEM AND INTEGRATED THEM INTO THEIR
4 COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PLANS. BUT IT'S ABSOLUTELY
5 WORTH IT TO REACH OUT TO THEM INDIVIDUALLY AS WELL.
6 SO, GEOFF, THAT'S JUST SOMETHING WE SHOULD ADD TO
7 THE PLANNING CALENDAR WHICH IS PACKED. SO, YES,
8 ABSOLUTELY.

9 DR. LOMAX: AGREED. AND THAT IS
10 DEFINITELY -- I'D SAY THERE'S ALREADY MOMENTUM IN
11 THAT DIRECTION; BUT TO THE EXTENT WE CAN DOUBLE-DOWN
12 ON THAT EFFORT, WE CAN DO THAT.

13 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: KIM.

14 DR. BARRETT: TO ADD TO PAT'S HELPFUL
15 COMMENT, I THINK A SIMILAR COMMENT CAN BE MADE ABOUT
16 THE COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTERS THAT EXIST ACROSS
17 THE LANDSCAPE IN CALIFORNIA. THEY ALSO HAVE MAJOR
18 EFFORTS. AND I KNOW OUR CANCER CENTER AND OUR CTSC
19 COLLABORATE AS WELL ON COMMUNITY OUTREACH. SO
20 THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY THERE AS WELL.

21 DR. LEVITT: YEAH. I MENTION THIS BECAUSE
22 I'M GETTING THE FEELING THAT PEOPLE ARE SORT
23 OF IN -- AND THE EFFORTS ARE EARNEST AND REALLY HIGH
24 QUALITY, BUT I THINK THEY TEND TO BE -- ALL THIS IS
25 BEING DONE IN LIKE SEPARATE LANES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: I AGREE.

2 DR. LEVITT: SHARING INFORMATION ABOUT
3 WHAT'S WORKING AND WHAT'S NOT WILL SAVE A LOT OF
4 TIME AND EFFORT. AND THEN INCORPORATING THINGS THAT
5 PEOPLE HAVE FOUND WORK WELL, PARTICULARLY IN
6 COMMUNITIES WHERE IT'S BEEN EXTREMELY CHALLENGING TO
7 MAKE INROADS IN TERMS OF EVEN TALKING ABOUT CLINICAL
8 TRIALS AND PARTICIPATION AND ACCESS, I THINK, WOULD
9 BE WORTH IT. MAYBE THE LANES CAN CROSS AT SOME
10 POINT.

11 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: I AGREE. I THINK
12 WE CAN SAY THAT ABOUT A LOT OF THE THINGS THAT WE'RE
13 TRYING TO DO. THERE ARE SO MANY EFFORTS BEING DONE
14 COLLECTIVELY IN THE FIELD, AT INSTITUTIONS WE FUND,
15 AND CONSOLIDATING OUR EFFORTS WOULD REALLY GO A LONG
16 WAY. THANK YOU, PAT AND KIM. APPRECIATE THAT.

17 DR. LOMAX: MAYBE JUST TO ADD ONE MORE
18 COMMENT ON THAT AS IT RELATES TO THE COMMUNITY CARE
19 CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE. SO, PAT, YOUR MESSAGE HAS
20 BEEN RECEIVED IN TERMS OF WE NEED TO REALLY INCREASE
21 REFERRAL RATES. AND SO AS WE'VE BEEN MEETING WITH
22 APPLICANTS, WE'VE REALLY BEEN SOCIALIZING THIS IDEA
23 THAT THE CANCER CENTERS WILL BE AN IMPORTANT ELEMENT
24 TO THOSE PATIENT REFERRALS, ACHIEVING THAT GOAL OF
25 IMPROVING REFERRAL RATES.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SO, AGAIN, WE'VE GOT THE MESSAGE. IT'S
2 DEFINITELY PART OF THE MESSAGE IN TERMS -- WHEN WE
3 STRATEGIZE WITH POTENTIAL APPLICANTS ABOUT WAYS IN
4 WHICH THEY CAN BE RESPONSIVE TO THE RFA. AND I ALSO
5 WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE ALPHA CLINICS, THAT THEY'VE
6 OVER TIME -- REALLY THOSE RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE
7 CANCER CENTERS HAVE MATURED TO THE POINT WHERE I
8 THINK THEY'RE FAR MORE COLLABORATIVE THAN THEY WERE
9 WHEN THE PROGRAM FIRST STARTED. SO I THINK THAT
10 THERE IS CROSSOVER OCCURRING. TO THE EXTENT WE'VE
11 OPTIMIZED THAT CROSSOVER, I DON'T KNOW, BUT IT'S
12 DEFINITELY PART OF THE OVERALL STRATEGY WE'VE BEEN
13 TAKING IN TERMS OF TRYING TO BE RESPONSIVE TO THAT
14 REFERRAL GAP YOU'VE ALLUDED TO.

15 DR. LEVITT: THAT'S GREAT. TO GIVE FULL
16 CREDIT FOR CHRIS YOUNG, WHO WAS A COMPASS SCHOLAR,
17 BY THE WAY. HE'S AN UNDERGRADUATE WHO WAS THE
18 INITIATOR OF THE CONVERSATIONS THAT HE AND I HAD AND
19 THEN BROUGHT IN GEOFF. HE DESERVES -- THE COMPASS
20 SCHOLAR PROGRAM DESERVES A TON OF CREDIT FOR HAVING
21 PEOPLE, STUDENTS AS TALENTED AS CHRIS TO EVEN THINK
22 ABOUT THIS. SO HE DESERVES A TON OF CREDIT.

23 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, PAT.
24 KIM.

25 DR. BARRETT: NOT TO ADD YET ANOTHER

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 POTENTIAL PARTNER INTO THE MIX, BUT WE'VE BEEN
2 HAVING LOTS OF CONVERSATIONS WITH THE VA AROUND
3 ACCESS FOR CLINICAL TRIALS. AND OBVIOUSLY THEY
4 SERVE AN INCREDIBLY DIVERSE POPULATION ACROSS THE
5 STATE AND REALLY IN ALL SORTS OF NOOKS AND CRANNIES,
6 PARTICULARLY OUR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA VA, WHICH HAS
7 AN INCREDIBLY LARGE CATCHMENT AREA, BUT THEY ARE
8 VERY INTERESTED IN PARTNERING AT LEAST WITH US AND
9 PROBABLY AS A PARTNER WITH THESE SORTS OF EFFORTS.
10 MAYBE VITO WOULD WANT TO COMMENT ON THAT AS WELL.

11 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANKS, KIM. I KNOW
12 THE MEDICAL DIRECTOR AT THE SACRAMENTO-BASED CENTER
13 AT MATHER. AND I KNOW THAT HE IS VERY, VERY EAGER
14 TO EXPAND THE FOURTH MISSION. IT'S NOT JUST
15 TRAINING AND TO BE AVAILABLE IN TIME OF NATIONAL
16 CATASTROPHE, BUT TO TRAIN THE NEXT GENERATION OF
17 HEALTHCARE WORKERS. AND THIS WOULD BE -- AND ACCESS
18 WOULD BE PART OF HIS MISSION.

19 SO DAVID STOCKWELL, HE'S INCREDIBLY
20 ENGAGED. I'M SURE YOU KNOW HIM PERSONALLY. IF I
21 CAN PERSONALLY INTERVENE OR BE AN ADJUNCT TO YOUR
22 EFFORTS, CONSIDER ME AVAILABLE TO YOU.

23 DR. BARRETT: THANK YOU.

24 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: ANY OTHER COMMENTS
25 BEFORE WE MOVE ON? OKAY. I THINK ROSA IS UP NEXT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THANK YOU, EVERYONE.

2 DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR
3 BONNEVILLE. LET ME JUST CHECK THAT THIS IS WORKING.

4 THE REPORTER: EXCUSE ME. THIS IS BETH.
5 I'M HAVING A HARD TIME HEARING ROSA.

6 DR. CANET-AVILES: LET ME JUST MOVE THE
7 COMPUTER. WE'RE ACHIEVING THE SITUATION HERE. JUST
8 GIVE US A SECOND.

9 AS CHAIR BONNEVILLE WAS MENTIONING, WE
10 HAVE BEEN UNDERGOING A STRATEGIC ALLOCATION
11 FRAMEWORK. NEXT SLIDE. I'LL JUST KEEP TELLING YOU
12 NEXT. AS WE ALREADY MENTIONED DURING OUR LAST
13 ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP MEETING BACK
14 IN MAY, WE PRESENTED HOW WE ARE DEVELOPING THE GOALS
15 AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS. AND JUST TO ENSURE AMPLE
16 TIME FOR DISCUSSION, THE BACKGROUND THAT WE'VE
17 PROVIDED SEVERAL TIMES TO THE BOARD AND ALSO TO THIS
18 WORKING GROUP WILL NOT BE PRESENTED DURING TODAY'S
19 MEETING. AND FOR THOSE INTERESTED, THESE SECTIONS
20 WERE PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED AT LENGTH DURING THE JUNE
21 27TH ICOC MEETING. SO FEEL FREE TO REVIEW THOSE
22 SLIDES ACCORDINGLY.

23 WE ARE GOING TO MOVE ON TO THE TIMELINE
24 JUST AS A REFRESH. NEXT SLIDE. CAN YOU HEAR ME,
25 BETH?

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE REPORTER: THAT'S BETTER.

2 DR. CANET-AVILES: SO AS WE SAW BACK IN --
3 AS WE PRESENTED BACK IN MAY, THE GOAL FOR
4 DISCUSSIONS OF THIS GROUP IN THE CONTEXT OF THE
5 ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY GOAL WERE TO BRAINSTORM
6 AROUND THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF QUESTIONS THAT COULD
7 BE NECESSARY TO ANSWER THE MAIN QUESTION, WHICH IS
8 TO ENSURE THAT EVERY CIRM-FUNDED PROJECT COMPLETING
9 A LATE STAGE CLINICAL TRIAL HAS A STRATEGY THAT
10 ENABLES ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY BY ALL CALIFORNIA
11 PATIENTS. AND THAT QUESTION WAS PRESENTED BACK IN
12 MAY.

13 AND THE WORK THAT THIS WORKING GROUP, WITH
14 GEOFF AND MARIA'S LEADERSHIP, HAS BEEN UNDERGOING IS
15 TO EVALUATE THE TYPE OF QUESTIONS AND DATA THAT WE
16 WOULD NEED TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS AT THE SEPTEMBER
17 13TH SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND NEURO TASK FORCE
18 MEETING WHERE WE WILL BE PRESENTING ALL THE
19 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EACH ONE OF THE IMPACT GOALS.

20 SO TODAY WE ARE GOING TO GO OVER SOME OF
21 THE CONSIDERATIONS THAT THIS GROUP HAS CONVENEED.
22 AND THESE CONSIDERATIONS WILL BE THE ONES THAT WILL
23 HELP US DERIVE RECOMMENDATIONS AND PRESENT THEM AT
24 THE SEPTEMBER MEETING. SO JUST TO -- NEXT SLIDE.

25 AS I WAS MENTIONING, WE HAVE FOUR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CATEGORIES IN WHICH WE'VE BEEN DEVELOPING THIS
2 STRATEGIC ALLOCATION FRAMEWORK. AND THERE'S A FULL
3 CATEGORY FOR ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY OF CIRM-FUNDED
4 CELL AND GENE THERAPIES, WHICH IS OBVIOUSLY VERY
5 RELEVANT BECAUSE THAT'S KIND OF WHERE WE ARE GOING,
6 TO MAKE THERAPIES FOR PATIENTS AND THAT THEY ARE
7 ACCESSIBLE AND AFFORDABLE AS PER PROPOSITION 14.

8 SO THIS GROUP DEVELOPED, WITH CIRM STAFF,
9 DEVELOPED A GOAL, AN IMPACT GOAL, WHICH WAS TO
10 ENSURE THAT EVERY CIRM-FUNDED PROJECT COMPLETING A
11 LATE STAGE CLINICAL TRIAL HAS A STRATEGY THAT
12 ENABLES ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY BY ALL CALIFORNIA
13 PATIENTS, PARTICULARLY UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS.

14 AND WHAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT EARLIER IN
15 TERMS OF INCREASING REFERRAL RATES AND ALIGNING WITH
16 FEDERAL AND ALSO CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT POLICY
17 STRATEGIES, ALL THIS FITS WITHIN THE GRANULARITY OF
18 WHAT WE NEED TO DO IN THIS EXERCISE, WHICH IS TO
19 PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS AT A HIGH LEVEL, EITHER
20 PROGRAMMATIC OR POLICY OR PROCESS RECOMMENDATIONS,
21 THAT WILL THEN BE IMPLEMENTED. IN ORDER TO DO THAT,
22 WE NEED TO GATHER DATA. AND THAT'S WHAT GEOFF HAS
23 BEEN DOING WITH CHAIR BONNEVILLE'S LEADERSHIP.

24 SO THE NEXT SLIDE PROVIDES AN OVERVIEW OF
25 THE HIGH LEVEL QUESTIONS. NEXT SLIDE. GO BACK. SO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THE GOAL TODAY WILL BE TO GO OVER THE HIGH LEVEL
2 QUESTIONS THAT WERE DEVELOPED, THE CONSIDERATIONS
3 THAT GEOFF WILL BE RUNNING US THROUGH, AND THEN A
4 DISCUSSION FRAMED WITHIN SOME HIGH LEVEL QUESTIONS
5 THAT CHAIR BONNEVILLE HAS SHARED WITH THIS WORKING
6 GROUP. NEXT SLIDE.

7 THE QUESTIONS AT A HIGH LEVEL IS HOW WILL
8 CIRM ALIGN WITH THE CMS CELL AND GENE THERAPY ACCESS
9 MODEL? WHAT ARE THE MOST IMPACTFUL FACTORS FOR
10 ACHIEVING ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY? WHAT IS THE
11 RESEARCH THAT WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND THE LANDSCAPE
12 FOR ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY? AND HOW ARE WE GOING
13 TO GATHER ALL THAT LANDSCAPE AND SUCCINCTLY PUT IT
14 IN A WAY THAT WE CAN EVALUATE WHAT FITS BEST OUR
15 NEEDS? AND ALL THIS OF NEEDS TO BE IN THE CONTEXT
16 OF WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT IN TERMS OF THE CELL
17 AND GENE THERAPIES THAT WE ARE MOVING TO THE CLINIC
18 AS WELL. SO THOSE ARE QUESTIONS THAT THIS GROUP
19 NEEDS TO EVALUATE.

20 WHAT GENERAL POLICIES WITHIN CIRM COULD BE
21 DEVELOPED TO FACILITATE ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY?
22 THIS LINKS TO NO. 3. WHAT ARE THE BARRIERS TO
23 ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY FOR CELL AND GENE
24 THERAPIES? AT WHAT STAGE SHOULD THE APPLICANTS
25 PROVIDE AN ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY STRATEGY, FOR

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 EXAMPLE? SO THIS COULD BE IN TERMS OF WHAT WOULD
2 DERIVE FROM HERE WOULD BE PROGRAMMATIC, THE PROGRAM.
3 THE AMENDMENTS TO PROGRAMS OR CHANGES TO ALREADY
4 FUNDED PROGRAMS, THAT WE NEED TO TELL THEM NOW YOU
5 NEED TO PROVIDE THIS AS WELL. SO THOSE ARE THE
6 RECOMMENDATIONS THAT THIS GROUP CAN PROVIDE TO THE
7 ICOC.

8 HOW CAN STRATEGIES BE SCALED IF THE
9 THERAPY IS SUCCESSFUL? AND WHAT ARE ADDITIONAL
10 OPPORTUNITIES FOR ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY?

11 SO TAKING THESE VERY HIGH LEVEL QUESTIONS,
12 WE WENT INTO SOME CONSIDERATIONS. NEXT SLIDE. AND
13 WE WILL NOT GO THROUGH THESE CONSIDERATIONS BECAUSE
14 THESE ARE PART OF THE GOAL 5 DOCUMENT THAT THE TEAM
15 POSTED IN PREPARATION FOR THIS MEETING. AND I'M
16 SURE THAT EVERYBODY HAS HAD A CHANCE TO GO OVER
17 THEM.

18 AND WITH THAT PREAMBLE, I WILL JUST PASS
19 THE BATON TO MY COLLEAGUE GEOFF LOMAX, WHO'S GOING
20 TO RUN IS THROUGH THE NEXT SLIDES THAT PRESENT THE
21 HIGH LEVEL FACTORS AND THE QUESTIONS.

22 DR. LOMAX: THANK YOU, ROSA. THANKS FOR
23 THAT INTRODUCTION.

24 SO BEFORE JUMPING INTO WHAT WOULD
25 OTHERWISE JUST BE A LIST OF POLICY OPTIONS, I WANTED

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 TO PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT OF CONTEXT FOR HOW THESE
2 OPTIONS RELATE TO THE DECISION-MAKING THAT IMPACTS
3 ACCESS, AT LEAST AS I'VE COME TO UNDERSTAND THE
4 SPACE.

5 THERE ARE THREE DRIVING FACTORS THAT
6 IMPACT ACCESS. SO FIRST, PRICE BEING THE MOST
7 OBVIOUS. IF WE'RE NOT DEALING WITH MULTI-MILLION
8 DOLLAR TREATMENTS, WE'D PROBABLY NOT NEED THIS
9 WORKING GROUP. AND IT'S WORTH NOTING THAT THERE ARE
10 A NUMBER OF CELL AND GENE THERAPY PROGRAMS IN THE
11 CIRM PORTFOLIO THAT ARE REALLY AIMING TO FORCE
12 SUBSTANTIAL COST REDUCTIONS. SO PRICE AS A KIND OF
13 OVERALL STRATEGY IS SOMETHING THAT HAS A STRONG
14 POTENTIAL WITHIN OUR PORTFOLIO.

15 THE SECOND FACTOR, AGAIN, WHEN WE'RE
16 LOOKING AT ACCESS AND REIMBURSEMENT POLICY IS HEALTH
17 GAIN. HOW MUCH HEALTH DO WE GET FOR THAT PRICE?
18 AND HOW DOES THAT COMPARE TO THE STANDARD OF CARE?
19 AGAIN, AS NOTED IN THE BACKGROUND MATERIALS, ONE
20 RATIONALE FOR CMS' SELECTION OF SICKLE CELL DISEASE
21 IN THEIR GENE THERAPY PILOT WAS THAT THE CURRENT
22 COST OF THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM FOR MANAGING THIS
23 DISEASE IS ESTIMATED AT AROUND \$3 BILLION PER YEAR
24 WITH ABOUT 50 TO 60 PERCENT OF THAT COST FALLING ON
25 PUBLIC PAYERS.

1 SO THE POTENTIAL FOR AVOIDED COSTS
2 ASSOCIATED WITH TREATMENTS CAN BE COMPELLING. AND
3 THEN THE LINE BELOW, SORT OF THE INTERACTION BETWEEN
4 PRICE AND HEALTH GAIN IS FUNDAMENTAL IN THE CONTEXT
5 OF DISCUSSIONS OVER VALUE-BASED PRICING. AGAIN, AS
6 NOTED IN THE BACKGROUND MATERIALS, THERE ARE A
7 NUMBER OF CONSIDERATIONS THAT GO INTO THESE VALUE
8 ASSESSMENTS, INCLUDING FACTORS LIKE MAGNITUDE OF
9 EFFECT OR ABILITY OF THE TREATMENT AND DELIVERY
10 FACTORS SUCH AS IN-AND-OUT PATIENT TREATMENT.
11 AGAIN, THESE ARE OPTIONS SORT OF LAID OUT IN THE
12 BACKGROUND DOCUMENT.

13 I'D ALSO BE REMISS IF I DIDN'T NOTE SOME
14 WRITTEN COMMENTS PROVIDED BY DR. LEVINE NOTING THAT
15 VALUE ASSESSMENT SHOULD NOT BE THE SOLE DETERMINANT
16 OF WHETHER A THERAPY IS ACCESSIBLE TO PATIENTS. SO
17 I WANTED TO NOTE THAT AS WELL.

18 IN THE CONTEXT OF GOAL 5, A FUNDAMENTAL
19 QUESTION YOU MAY WANT TO CONSIDER IS TO WHAT EXTENT
20 WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE OR RECOMMEND VALUE ASSESSMENTS
21 BE INCORPORATED INTO ACCESS STRATEGIES OR PLANS.

22 AND THEN JUST RETURNING FOR A MOMENT TO
23 OUR COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED NIH POLICY, OUR
24 COMMENTS SUGGESTED THAT NIH MAY BE OVEREMPHASIZING
25 FACTORS RELATED TO PRICE, PUTTING THE ONUS ON

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DEVELOPERS TO CUT COSTS. BUT WHEN IT COMES TO CELL
2 AND GENE THERAPIES, THEY MAY WANT TO CONSIDER VALUE
3 IN THE BROADER CONTEXT OF MARKET BARRIERS AND HEALTH
4 GAINS.

5 AND FINALLY, EVEN IF ONE CAN DEMONSTRATE
6 VALUE, THERE'S NO ACCESS GUARANTEE. PAYERS REMAIN
7 CONCERNED ABOUT ACTUARIAL UNCERTAINTY OR THE OVERALL
8 DEMAND FOR THESE PRODUCTS. AND TO EMPHASIZE THIS,
9 CMS JUST PUBLISHED THIS WEEK ITS REIMBURSEMENT RULES
10 FOR THE 2025 FISCAL YEAR. AND DESPITE THE EVIDENCE
11 OF LONG-TERM VALUE IN SICKLE CELL TREATMENTS, THEY
12 DECIDED AGAINST INCREASING THE REIMBURSEMENT RATE
13 FOR APPROVED SICKLE CELL TREATMENTS. SO THEY'VE
14 REFUSED TO INCREASE RATES SO THEY'RE MORE IN LINE
15 WITH PROVIDERS' COST.

16 AND CMS SPECIFICALLY STATED, "WE RECOGNIZE
17 THE PAYMENTS WOULD NOT FULLY COVER A HOSPITAL'S
18 COST. WE REMAIN CONCERNED ABOUT THE EXTREMELY HIGH
19 COST OF THESE PRODUCTS AND WANT TO ENSURE WE DO NOT
20 CREATE INCENTIVES TO INCREASE PRICES.

21 "SO CMS POLICY IS INDICATIVE OF A
22 HEALTHCARE FINANCING SYSTEM THAT IS SENSITIVE TO THE
23 FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THESE TREATMENTS, PARTICULARLY
24 IF THERE'S A SURGE IN DEMAND. IN SHORT, THESE
25 TREATMENTS ARE NOT WELL ALIGNED FOR A FINANCING

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 SYSTEM THAT OPERATES ON ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS AND
2 OPEN ENROLLMENT PERIODS."

3 SO WITH THAT, NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.

4 SO WITH THAT BACKGROUND IN MIND, WE ASKED
5 YOU TO CONSIDER TWO QUESTIONS. ARE THERE SPECIFIC
6 OPTIONS CIRM SHOULD PRIORITIZE OR TRIAGE? AGAIN,
7 THAT'S AMONG THE LIST OF OPTIONS THAT WE'VE
8 DEVELOPED. AND IN ADDITION, ARE THERE OPPORTUNITIES
9 THAT ARE REALLY MISSING FROM THE TABLE THAT SHOULD
10 BE CONSIDERED IN THE CONTEXT OF THE STRATEGIC
11 ALLOCATION FRAMEWORK?

12 AND WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO TURN IT BACK TO
13 CHAIR BONNEVILLE AND SEE IF YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL
14 COMMENTS.

15 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU SO MUCH,
16 GEOFF. THAT'S REALLY WELL LAID OUT. SO I
17 APPRECIATE THAT.

18 AMMAR.

19 DR. QADAN: THANK YOU. THIS IS GREAT.
20 THIS IS WHAT I LIVE DAY AND NIGHT BASICALLY AROUND
21 DEMONSTRATING VALUE. I KNOW WE DISCUSSED THIS
22 BEFORE. WHO'S RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT WITHIN THE
23 CURRENT CLINICAL PRIOR PROGRAM? BECAUSE IN
24 INDUSTRY, FOR EXAMPLE, WE HAVE A TEAM OF HEALTH
25 ECONOMISTS WHO WOULD BE WORKING ON DEFINING THOSE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 KINDS OF ENDPOINTS AND MAKING SURE THAT THOSE
2 STUDIES ARE ALIGNED WITH THOSE ENDPOINTS SO THAT WE
3 HAVE A GOOD READ ABOUT THAT VALUE ONCE THE STUDY IS
4 DONE. WHO'S RESPONSIBLE HERE? THAT'S MY QUESTION.

5 AND THE SECOND, I SUGGESTED BEFORE THAT
6 PROBABLY WE NEED A HEALTH ECONOMIST ON A FULL-TIME,
7 MAYBE, BASIS. I KNOW SOME OF THE EXPERTS IN THIS
8 GROUP ARE HEALTH ECONOMISTS AS WELL BY TRAINING.
9 SOME OF THEM ARE ATTENDING ON REGULAR BASIS, SOME
10 ARE NOT. SO THERE NEEDS TO BE MORE ACCOUNTABILITY
11 ON HOW WE TRY THIS. THANK YOU.

12 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. GEOFF.

13 DR. LOMAX: SO I THINK IN TERMS OF WHO'S
14 RESPONSIBLE, I THINK THE PROVERBIAL IT DEPENDS. IT
15 DEPENDS ON THE SPONSOR. WE HAVE A VERY DIVERSE SET
16 OF SPONSORS IN OUR PORTFOLIO. I THINK SOME DO APPLY
17 THE APPROACH THAT WAS ALLUDED TO. OTHERS, THEY MAY
18 NOT -- SORT OF THAT LEVEL OF PLANNING MAY NOT BE
19 BAKED IN. I THINK THAT'S WHY THE OVERARCHING
20 RECOMMENDATION IS THAT EVERY LATE STAGE PROGRAM
21 SHOULD HAVE SOME KIND OF A STRATEGY. IT'S REALLY
22 SPOKEN TO THE UNDERPINNINGS OF THIS RECOMMENDATION
23 IS THAT AT SOME LEVEL THERE'S GOING TO BE SOME
24 BASELINE LEVEL OF EXPECTATION THAT THIS ANALYSIS IS
25 OCCURRING. CURRENTLY THAT IS NOT REQUIRED.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, GEOFF.
2 HARLAN.

3 DR. LEVINE: THANK YOU. VERY GOOD REPORT.
4 I HAVE A FEW COMMENTS. ONE IS I JUST WANT TO
5 CLARIFY MY COMMENTS TO GEOFF, AND I THINK THE SICKLE
6 CELL IS AN EXAMPLE. I AM A SUPPORTER THAT VALUE
7 SHOULD TRUMP MANY DECISIONS, BUT I THINK MY POINT
8 WAS THERE ARE SOME TREATMENTS THAT WILL HAVE SUCH
9 SIGNIFICANT CLINICAL BENEFIT, THAT YOU CAN'T REALLY
10 BALANCE PRICE AND BENEFIT, THAT WE AS A SOCIETY
11 HAVEN'T DECIDED WE'RE GOING TO WITHHOLD TREATMENTS.
12 IT'S THE HEALTH PLANS THAT ARE MAKING THESE
13 DECISIONS. I THINK WE NEED TO PULL IT BACK TO MORE
14 OF A SOCIETAL COMMENT.

15 ANYWAY, PUTTING THAT ASIDE, YOU TALKED
16 ABOUT ON SLIDE 23, THE OTHER FEATURE I WOULD ADD IS
17 CAPABILITY. SO THERE'S PRICE, THERE'S GAIN, THERE'S
18 DEMAND, BUT THERE'S ALSO CAPABILITY TO DELIVER THESE
19 PROGRAMS.

20 THE DECISION ON SICKLE CELL, I THINK, IS
21 INDICATIVE OF TWO THINGS. ONE IS THE WILLINGNESS TO
22 PUT THE BURDEN ON THE HOSPITAL SYSTEM INSTEAD OF
23 PHARMA AND ALSO TO LEAVE THAT TENSION THERE AS A WAY
24 TO REALLY LIMIT ACCESS. AND I THINK WE NEED TO
25 BRING SYSTEM TRANSPARENCY AND SHINE SOME LIGHT ON

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 THAT. IT'S REALLY NO WAY TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE
2 BECAUSE WHAT IT DOES IS PERPETUATES INEQUALITY AS
3 PEOPLE WITH BETTER HEALTH PLANS WILL HAVE BETTER
4 .ACCESS TO THESE THINGS THAN PEOPLE WITHOUT.

5 THE MAIN LAST POINT AND MAIN POINT I WANT
6 TO MAKE ON WHAT WAS MISSING ON THE LIST OF
7 QUESTIONS, I THINK WE ASK OURSELVES WHAT
8 PARTNERSHIPS ARE NEEDED TO DRIVE THE RESULTS THAT WE
9 WANT? AND SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU WANT ACCESS TO
10 UNDERREPRESENTED COMMUNITIES, HOW DO YOU TAP INTO
11 THE MEDICAID HMO'S? LEFT TO THEIR OWN DEVICES, THEY
12 WILL NEVER GET AT THIS; BUT IF YOU PARTNER WITH THEM
13 WITH A CANCER CENTER OR SOME OTHER MULTI-HOSPITAL
14 SYSTEM THAT DELIVER ON LATE PHASE TRIALS AND DELIVER
15 EARLY RELEASE TO MEDICATIONS, YOU CAN BEGIN TO BAKE
16 IT INTO -- FORCE BAKING IT INTO THEIR SYSTEM. THE
17 PROBLEM TODAY IS A LOT OF THE DOCTORS IN THOSE
18 NETWORKS WILL NEVER REFER PATIENTS BECAUSE IT'S NOT
19 PART OF THEIR DAY-TO-DAY OPERATION. SO WE HAVE TO
20 FIGURE OUT HOW TO TAKE THAT IN.

21 PROVIDENCE MAY NOT DO PHASE 1 TRIALS, BUT
22 THEY HAVE A LARGE NETWORK. SO LET'S PARTNER THEM
23 WITH SOMEONE WHO IS ABLE TO DO PHASE 1 TRIALS. I
24 THINK PARTNERSHIPS ARE GOING TO BE KEY TO GET AT
25 SOME OF THOSE MARKETS. UCLA AND CITY OF HOPE ARE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ALREADY IN UNDERREPRESENTED MARKETS. I DON'T KNOW
2 ABOUT SOME OF THE OTHER MULTI-HOSPITAL ACADEMIC
3 CENTERS, BUT I'M SURE THAT THEY ARE. WE SHOULD TAKE
4 ADVANTAGE OF THEIR NETWORKS TO LEVERAGE THEIR
5 CAPABILITY AND DRIVE IT INTO UNDERREPRESENTED
6 COMMUNITIES.

7 DR. LOMAX: CAN I JUST ASK A QUICK
8 FOLLOW-UP? IF YOU GO TO OUR SORT OF LIST OF
9 OPTIONS, WE'VE CALLED OUT THE CALIFORNIA CANCER CARE
10 ACT AS A POTENTIAL STARTING POINT FOR DRIVING SOME
11 OF THOSE. SO THAT'S ONE OPPORTUNITY. I KNOW IT'S
12 NOT QUITE IN LINE WITH THE ACCESS PLANNING, BUT WE
13 THOUGHT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO INCLUDE AS PART OF THE
14 BROADER STRATEGY AND POLICY INITIATIVES.

15 DO YOU SEE THAT PIECE OF LEGISLATION AS
16 SORT OF A PRIMARY OPPORTUNITY WE CAN -- WE SHOULD BE
17 DEVELOPING TO SORT OF ACHIEVE THE OUTCOME YOU JUST
18 DESCRIBED?

19 DR. LEVINE: I DO. I THINK IT'S A
20 TEMPLATE FOR TWO REASONS. FOR THOSE THAT DON'T
21 KNOW, IT'S THE CALIFORNIA CANCER CARE EQUITY ACT.
22 IT BASICALLY SAYS TWO THINGS. ONE IS THE PATIENTS
23 HAVE A RIGHT TO GET TO THE LEVEL OF CARE THAT'S
24 NEEDED FOR THEIR COMPLEX CANCER. BUT THE SECOND
25 PIECE IS THERE'S AN AFFIRMATIVE OBLIGATION TO

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 ACTUALLY INFORM THE PATIENT. WHETHER THAT'S
2 HAPPENING OR NOT, I DON'T KNOW, BUT WE'RE HAVING
3 DISCUSSIONS WITH THE STATE RIGHT NOW. IN FACT,
4 THEY'RE HAVING DISCUSSIONS WITH US THAT ARE VERY
5 POSITIVE ABOUT HAVING PEOPLE HIRED TO HELP WITH THE
6 IMPLEMENTATION AND THE OVERSIGHT OF THE PROGRAM.

7 AND THE OTHER PIECE OF THE LEGISLATION
8 THAT IS APPLICABLE HERE IS THERE'S GOING TO BE AN
9 EVALUATION PROCESS EVERY YEAR TO SEE WHAT
10 CONSTITUTES A NEW, COMPLEX NEED AS BEING UNMET. I
11 THINK THAT'S EXACTLY THE TEMPLATE WE CAN USE HERE TO
12 SAY THAT WE THINK THAT IT'S A RIGHT OF PATIENTS TO
13 HAVE ACCESS TO THESE DRUGS. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY
14 ARE TODAY, BUT WE'LL SIT AND EVALUATE EVERY SIX
15 MONTHS, EVERY 12 MONTHS, AND THEN MAKE SURE THAT THE
16 HMO'S HAVE AN AFFIRMATIVE OBLIGATION TO MAKE
17 PATIENTS AWARE, MAKE THE DOCTORS AWARE OF THESE
18 OPPORTUNITIES.

19 AND THEN WE HAVE TO MEASURE IT. THAT'S
20 THE PIECE THAT'S MISSING SO FAR. I THINK THE STATE
21 HAS REALLY SHOWED GOOD INTENT TO TRY TO SOLVE THE
22 PROBLEM OF IMPLEMENTATION AND OVERSIGHT.

23 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: ABSOLUTELY,
24 HARLAN. JUST SO YOU KNOW, OUR TEAM SAT WITH SOME OF
25 THE FOLKS RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION. I'M SURE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 IT GOT BACK TO YOU BECAUSE CITY OF HOPE
2 REPRESENTATIVES WERE THERE AS WELL. IT WAS
3 INCREDIBLY HELPFUL AND PROVIDED AN OPPORTUNITY. SO
4 MUCH OF WHAT PAT TALKS ABOUT IS THERE'S A LOT OF
5 PEOPLE DOING THINGS THAT HELP MOVE THIS FORWARD.
6 AND THE MORE WE CAN ALL BE ALIGNED AND THE MORE WE
7 CAN ALL COME TOGETHER, IT REALLY HELPS. SO THANK
8 YOU ALSO FOR YOUR HELP WITH THAT, HARLAN.
9 APPRECIATE IT.

10 ADRIENNE, YOU HAD YOUR HAND RAISED, BUT
11 IT'S NOT RAISED ANYMORE. SO I WANTED TO ASK IF YOU
12 WANTED --

13 MS. SHAPIRO: I DID. ...WHAT HARLAN WAS
14 SAYING. IT'S REALLY, REALLY IMPORTANT THAT, WHEN
15 WE'RE LOOKING AT THIS AND WE ARE TALKING ABOUT CARE,
16 WE HAVE TO LOOK BEYOND THE CARE THAT WE OFFER WITH
17 THESE NEW TREATMENTS, BUT WHAT'S THE BASELINE CARE
18 THAT PEOPLE WITH A GIVEN DISEASE ARE FACING ON A
19 DAILY BASIS. AND SO I JUST WANTED TO SAY THANK YOU
20 TO HIM. I KNOW I'M PREACHING TO THE CHOIR, BUT THE
21 MORE OF OUR VOICES THAT SPEAK TO THAT THE BETTER. I
22 WON'T GO OVER WHAT HE SAID AGAIN. I WON'T BE
23 REDUNDANT, BUT THANK YOU.

24 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU,
25 ADRIENNE. I APPRECIATE THAT.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DOES ANYONE ELSE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS? I
2 HAVE A QUESTION FOR THE GROUP IF NOBODY ELSE HAS A
3 QUESTION.

4 IN PUTTING TOGETHER SORT OF OUR FUTURE
5 RFA'S, PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENTS, THINGS LIKE THAT, HOW
6 MUCH WEIGHT DO YOU THINK SHOULD BE GIVEN TO ACCESS
7 STRATEGIES AS PART OF THE OVERALL APPLICATION? AND
8 HOW REASONABLE DO WE THINK IT IS THAT THEY WOULD BE
9 IN A POSITION WHERE THEY COULD PUT SOMETHING LIKE
10 THIS TOGETHER TO PROVIDE AS PART OF AN APPLICATION
11 FOR FUNDING? AMMAR.

12 DR. QADAN: THANK YOU. I THINK THE SAME
13 QUESTION AS BEING ASKED TODAY BY MANY START-UP
14 COMPANIES WHEN THEY APPROACH VC'S AROUND FUNDING.
15 THE TRADITIONAL APPROACH HAS BEEN FOCUSED JUST ON
16 REGULATORY APPROVAL, GET THE PRODUCT TO MARKET, AND
17 THAT'S IT. AND NOW THERE ARE MANY OF THEM WHO
18 REALIZE THAT THEY NEED TO THINK ABOUT THOSE THINGS
19 IN ADVANCE AS PROBABLY HARLAN MENTIONED.

20 SO THERE NEEDS TO BE AT LEAST A, I WOULD
21 SAY, A VERY LEAN -- AND I'LL GO BACK AND BE MORE
22 DIRECT. I THINK WE NEED TO HAVE AT LEAST ONE PERSON
23 IN OUR ORGANIZATION WHO'S ABLE TO OVERSEE THOSE.
24 SOMETIMES WE NEED TO DO IT OURSELVES IN THE MAJORITY
25 OF THE CASES THROUGH PARTNERS.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: SURE.

2 DR. QADAN: SO THE ANSWER, THE SHORT
3 ANSWER IS WE NEED TO HAVE THAT AS PART OF ANY
4 FUNDING IN THE FUTURE. THANK YOU.

5 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. DO YOU
6 THINK IT SHOULD START EARLIER IN THE DEVELOPMENT
7 CYCLE, OR IS IT APPROPRIATE AS THEY'RE PREPARING THE
8 CRITICAL STAGE? IS IT SOMETHING THAT CAN BE DONE
9 SOONER IN THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS?

10 DR. QADAN: I THINK EARLIER IS BETTER.
11 NOW, WE CAN DECIDE ON HOW MUCH EARLIER. DEFINITELY
12 EARLIER IS BETTER. AS PRODUCTS MOVE FROM PHASE 1 TO
13 PHASE 2, YOU NEED TO HAVE A STRATEGY PROBABLY.

14 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: OKAY. THANK YOU.
15 APPRECIATE THAT.

16 DR. LEVINE: I THINK MY THOUGHTS MIRROR
17 AMMAR'S. I WOULD JUST SAY I LIKE THE WAY IT WAS
18 WORDED AS LATE STAGE FOR NOW. I INTERVIEWED A LOT
19 OF OUR SCIENTISTS HERE, AND I CAN'T SAY I AGREED
20 WITH THEM, BUT THEY MADE A COMPELLING ARGUMENT, THAT
21 IT'S VERY DIFFICULT IN EARLY STAGES WHEN YOU DON'T
22 KNOW WHAT DIRECTION THINGS ARE GOING TO GO TO ALSO
23 BE THINKING ABOUT COST. AND WHAT WE DECIDED WAS WE
24 NEED TO LEVERAGE AI, NOT SO MUCH GAI, AI AND THE NEW
25 TECHNIQUES TO LOWER THE COST. AND MAYBE THINK ABOUT

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REDUCING THE COST OF MANUFACTURING WHEN WE'RE
2 CHOOSING PATH A VERSUS PATH B. BEYOND THAT, IT'S
3 REALLY PUTTING A HEAVY BURDEN ON BASIC SCIENTISTS
4 WHO DON'T EVEN --

5 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: SURE.

6 DR. LEVINE: THEY DON'T EVEN CARE ABOUT
7 THE PROFITABILITY. THEY'RE IN IT FOR THE SCIENCE,
8 AND IT WOULD BE A SEA CHANGE FOR THEM TO WORRY ABOUT
9 IT. SO I THINK FOCUSING IN LATER STAGE, AND LETTING
10 IT PERMEATE EARLIER OVER TIME IS THE RIGHT APPROACH.
11 AND I DON'T WANT TO PUT WORDS IN AMMAR'S MOUTH. BUT
12 THAT'S KIND OF WHAT I HEARD, AND I THINK THE
13 RIGHT -- HE SAID IT MORE ELOQUENTLY, BUT I WANTED TO
14 REINFORCE IT. WE SHOULD START WITH WHAT WE KNOW WE
15 CAN DO, WHICH IS THE LATER STAGES, AND THEN NOT PUT
16 AN UNREASONABLE EXPECTATION ON THE ACADEMIC CENTERS
17 UNTIL WE'RE READY.

18 CHAIRPERSON BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU FOR
19 THAT FEEDBACK. AND THANKS FOR CHECKING IN WITH
20 SCIENTISTS AND GETTING AN OPINION. I APPRECIATE
21 THAT.

22 ANN.

23 MS. BOYNTON: SORT OF ON HARLAN'S POINT,
24 IS THERE A WAY THAT WE CAN -- I AGREE WITH FOCUSING
25 ON LATER STAGE, BUT THEY COULD GET A VERY LONG WAYS

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DOWN AND NOT HAVE THOUGHT ABOUT IT AT ALL. SO IS
2 THERE A WAY EARLY ON TO BEGIN THE PROCESS OF MAKING
3 SURE FOLKS ARE THINKING THAT WAY AS THEY MOVE
4 THROUGH DEVELOPMENT?

5 DR. LOMAX: SO I THINK THE SENSE IS YES.
6 THERE WOULD BE CERTAIN -- EARLY ON I THINK GOING
7 BACK TO THAT THREE-POINT CHART, YOU'D CERTAINLY WANT
8 TO BE ABLE TO -- I THINK THERE'S ISSUES BOTH OF
9 COST. YOU COULD ASK A -- THERE COULD BE A SERIES OF
10 PROBES, FOR EXAMPLE. LIKE WHAT HAVE YOU -- HAVE YOU
11 CONSIDERED OPPORTUNITIES FOR COST REDUCTION? WE
12 HAVE A MANUFACTURING NETWORK. WE HAVE A
13 INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE CIRM ECOSYSTEM. AND PROBES
14 AROUND TO WHAT EXTENT THOSE RESOURCES CAN BE BROUGHT
15 TO BEAR EARLY ON. AT LEAST ASKING THOSE QUESTIONS
16 IS USEFUL. OFTEN APPLICANTS MAY NOT EVEN HAVE
17 VISIBILITY TO THOSE RESOURCES. AND HAVING PROCESSES
18 WHERE WE CAN HAVE THE APPLICANTS INTERACT IS
19 VALUABLE.

20 AS I INDICATED, WE ARE STARTING TO SEE
21 APPLICATIONS COME IN THAT ARE REALLY LOOKING AT WAYS
22 OF REDUCING COST, AND THAT'S IMPORTANT.

23 I THINK THE OTHER PIECE -- I THINK THE
24 PART THAT'S A LITTLE BIT HARDER IS WHEN YOU START
25 GETTING INTO THE QUESTIONS AROUND KIND OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 REIMBURSEMENT AND INSURANCE. BUT, AGAIN, THOSE
2 MIGHT BECOME LATER STAGE BECAUSE YOU'RE NOT GOING TO
3 KNOW AT THAT POINT. UNTIL YOU GO THROUGH THAT, IT
4 COULD BE YEARS OFF. AND THEN YOU'VE GOT WHAT DOES
5 THE REST OF THE MARKET LOOK LIKE? WHAT'S THE PRICE
6 OF THE STANDARD OF CARE?

7 I THINK IT'S SORT OF LOOKING AT IT IN A
8 STAGED WAY. AND I THINK IF YOU LOOK AT WHAT WAS IN
9 THE NIH RFI, THEY ALLUDED TO A SIMILAR APPROACH, A
10 KIND OF STAGED APPROACH WHERE THERE ARE THINGS
11 YOU -- SMART QUESTIONS YOU CAN ASK EARLY, BUT
12 RECOGNIZING SOME OF THE OTHER QUESTIONS YOU WON'T
13 KNOW UNTIL YOU GET INTO THAT LATER STAGE OF
14 DEVELOPMENT.

15 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, GEOFF.

16 DR. LOMAX: I THINK WHAT WE NEED TO
17 DISENTANGLE MAYBE FOR ANOTHER MEETING IS WHAT ARE
18 THE QUESTIONS AND WHERE TO START.

19 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: DOES ANYONE ELSE
20 HAVE ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS OF THE GROUP?

21 GEOFF, DO YOU FEEL LIKE YOU'VE GOTTEN A
22 GOOD START OR IDEA OF HOW THE GROUP FEELS ABOUT THE
23 QUESTIONS MOVING FORWARD AND WHAT TO INCLUDE IN THE
24 STRATEGIC ALLOCATION FRAMEWORK FOR CONSIDERATION?

25 DR. LOMAX: I GUESS YES AND NO. I THINK

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 WHAT WE'VE HEARD, IF I'M HEARING THE SENSE OF THE
2 WORKING GROUP, IS THAT THE OVERALL IDEA OF ACCESS
3 STRATEGY IS THAT THAT NEEDS TO HAPPEN. THAT NEEDS
4 TO HAPPEN. AND IT NEEDS TO BE SORT OF APPROPRIATE
5 FOR THE STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT.

6 WE HAVE MADE SOME SUGGESTIONS IN TERMS OF
7 SORT OF SPECIFICS. MAYBE THEY'RE TOO GRANULAR. IT
8 WOULD, AGAIN, BE HELPFUL, AND IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY
9 HAVE TO HAPPEN AT THIS MEETING, BUT THROUGH
10 FOLLOW-UP CORRESPONDENCE, IF YOU COULD SORT OF LOOK
11 AT SOME OF THE SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS -- I
12 WOULDN'T SAY RECOMMENDATIONS, SPECIFIC OPTIONS AND
13 GIVE US SOME SENSE IF THERE ARE THINGS YOU THINK
14 THAT ARE MORE OR LESS IMPORTANT AT THIS TIME BECAUSE
15 IT'S A LONG LIST. AS WE'VE ALLUDED TO HERE, SOME OF
16 THEM REQUIRE SUBSTANTIAL ENGAGEMENT WITHIN THE STATE
17 OF CALIFORNIA; FOR EXAMPLE, MEDI-CAL. OTHERS WOULD
18 REQUIRE ENGAGEMENT WITH PERHAPS NIH. AND THAT DOES
19 BRING UP SORT OF BANDWIDTH ISSUES AND
20 PRIORITIZATION. WHERE SHOULD WE START? I KNOW THAT
21 COULD CHANGE OVERNIGHT DEPENDING ON A NEW PIECE OF
22 LEGISLATION. BUT TO THE EXTENT THERE'S FEEDBACK ON
23 ANY OF THE SPECIFICS, THAT'S HELPFUL FOR GUIDING OUR
24 SORT OF DAY-TO-DAY OPERATIONS.

25 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. AND

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 JUST AS AN UPDATE FOR THE GROUP, WE HAVE STARTED
2 CONVERSATIONS WITH VARIOUS GROUPS WITHIN STATE
3 GOVERNMENT, INCLUDING MEDI-CAL, ABOUT HOW TO PARTNER
4 WITH THEM, HOW TO PARTNER AND BRING INFORMATION IN.
5 THERE ARE NO SOLUTIONS TO THIS YET. THAT'S AN
6 ONGOING PROCESS. BUT WE DO HAVE TOUCHPOINTS IN.
7 THAT'S REALLY VALUABLE FOR EVERYONE AND I THINK WILL
8 GO A LONG WAY.

9 WE ARE ALSO SPONSORING A COUPLE OF
10 DIFFERENT CONFERENCES IN THE UPCOMING MONTHS WHERE
11 SOME OF THESE QUESTIONS WILL BE ASKED. AND WE PLAN
12 ON BRINGING ALL OF THAT FEEDBACK TO THIS GROUP TO
13 GET THEIR INPUT AS WELL.

14 I JUST GOT A NOTE THAT SAID TED GOLDSTEIN
15 HAS A COMMENT. SO I'M GOING TO SAY, TED, PLEASE
16 UNMUTE YOURSELF AND ASK AWAY.

17 DR. GOLDSTEIN: THANKS SO MUCH. SO JUST
18 ONE QUICK POINT THAT WE MAY WANT TO MAKE AS PART OF
19 THE PUBLIC STRATEGY, THAT ANY OF THE -- THAT
20 INCREASES THEIR POINTS AND LIKELIHOOD FOR FUNDING,
21 IF THE CLINICAL TRIALS COME TO US WITH A STRATEGY
22 FOR MASS PRODUCTION. AND THAT -- SO THAT WE TURN IT
23 AROUND. WE KNOW THAT THIS SORT OF BESPOKE CREATION
24 OF STEM CELLS IS NOT SCALABLE, BUT THERE IS
25 CREATIVITY GOING ON IN THE COMMUNITY TO MAKE MORE OF

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 A COMMON MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY. AND IT WOULD BE
2 VERY GOOD IF WE JUST SAID, HEY. COME TO US WITH
3 YOUR IDEAS AND SOLUTIONS THAT WOULD INCREASE THE
4 LIKELIHOOD OF FUNDING.

5 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. GEOFF.

6 DR. LOMAX: AGREED. I THINK THAT
7 RELATES -- AGAIN, BACK TO ANN'S COMMENT, SORT OF
8 WHAT ARE -- I THINK ON THE MANUFACTURING SIDE,
9 THAT'S PROBABLY SOMETHING THAT CAN BE LOOKED AT
10 EARLIER IN TERMS OF STRATEGY. JUST TO ACKNOWLEDGE
11 TOO, THAT'S A VERY DYNAMIC SPACE AT THE MOMENT.
12 WE'RE SEEING THE EMERGENCE OF A LOT OF AUTOMATED
13 SYSTEMS. THERE'S, AGAIN, A LOT OF INTEREST WITHIN
14 OUR OWN INFRASTRUCTURE NETWORK TO DEPLOY SOME OF
15 THESE NOVEL SYSTEMS THAT COULD REALLY IMPACT COST.
16 THAT'S THE PLAN. THOSE ARE THINGS, I THINK, THAT
17 AGAIN CAN COME UP EARLIER ON. AND A REMINDER, THAT
18 ANY CLINICAL STAGE PROGRAM, IF THEY DON'T HAVE A
19 COMPELLING AND FEASIBLE MANUFACTURING STRATEGY,
20 THEY'RE NOT GOING TO GET FUNDED. SO WE ALREADY HAVE
21 THE SORT OF FRAMEWORK WHERE THOSE SORTS OF ISSUES
22 COME UP. AND TO THE EXTENT, AGAIN, THERE MIGHT BE
23 ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS WE SHOULD BE ASKING AT CERTAIN
24 STAGES, WE'D BRING THOSE QUESTIONS FORWARD.

25 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. TED,

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 DO YOU WANT TO --

2 DR. GOLDSTEIN: JUST IT STRIKES ME WHAT
3 WE'RE LOOKING AT, OF COURSE, IS FUNDAMENTAL CHANGES
4 TO THE IMMUNE ARCHITECTURE OF STEM CELLS. AND THAT
5 ITSELF, OF COURSE, IS PROBABLY ITS OWN CIRM PROGRAM,
6 BUT IF WE COULD POINT AND SHOW THE WAY TO IT. AND
7 THERE ARE SOME PROPOSALS THAT I'VE SEEN THAT LOOK
8 MORE OPTIMISTIC ABOUT TEACHING HOW TO COPE WITH THE
9 COMPATIBILITY ISSUES AND OTHER SPECIFIC THINGS THAT
10 ARE NOT NECESSARILY IMPORTANT TO THE SPECIFIC FIRST
11 PRODUCT, BUT CLEARLY WOULD SHOW HOW TO CREATE
12 FOLLOW-ON PRODUCTS.

13 SO, ANYWAY, OPTIMISTIC. AND I THINK IT'S
14 PART OF AS WELL WHAT WE'RE GOING TO LEARN FROM -- WE
15 NEED AT LEAST ONE LARGE-SCALE PROGRAM THAT DOESN'T
16 BREAK THE BANK. SO...

17 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: AGREE. THANK YOU.

18 DR. GOLDSTEIN: WE'RE ON THE RIGHT TRACK.
19 THANK YOU.

20 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. DOES
21 ANYONE ON THE WORKING GROUP HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
22 ARE THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS? CLAUDETTE OR EMILY,
23 DO YOU SEE ANYTHING?

24 MS. MANDAC: THERE ARE NO HANDS RAISED.

25 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: SUZANNE, I SEE YOU

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 JUST JOINED. THANK YOU. SUZANNE.

2 DR. SANDMEYER: ENOUGH PROMPTING. I AM AN
3 ALTERNATE ON THE BOARD, BUT THIS IS THE FIRST TIME
4 I'VE VISITED ONE OF THE SUBCOMMITTEES, I HAVE TO
5 CONFESS.

6 SO MY QUESTION IS I APPRECIATED THE
7 COMMENTS ABOUT COMBINING DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO TRY
8 TO GET SOME BENEFIT OF COLLECTIVE PROBLEMS THAT ARE
9 IN COMMON AND SOLVING THEM COLLECTIVELY. AND SO TO
10 THAT POINT, I'M WONDERING WHAT KIND OF PRESSURE CAN
11 BE BROUGHT TO BEAR ON THE NEW CGMP FACILITIES? AND
12 ARE THERE SUPPLEMENTS AVAILABLE FOR THEM IDENTIFYING
13 PROBLEMS THAT ARE IN MANUFACTURING, BUT MIGHT BE IN
14 COMMON AMONG DIFFERENT DISEASES OR TRIALS OR
15 PROGRAMS THAT THEY'RE EXECUTING ON? THAT WAS A
16 QUESTION, BY THE WAY.

17 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.
18 THANKS, SUZANNE, FOR JOINING. GEOFF.

19 DR. LOMAX: I DON'T WANT TO SPEAK FOR THE
20 MANUFACTURING NETWORK, BUT WORKING IN CLOSE
21 COLLABORATION BETWEEN THE ALPHA CLINICS AND THE
22 MANUFACTURING NETWORKS, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT'S
23 CERTAINLY THE INTENT. IT IS TO IDENTIFY THOSE
24 OPPORTUNITIES. AND THEN I THINK WHAT'S HAPPENED AT
25 THIS PHASE, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, IS THE FOUNDATION

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 HAS BEEN SET FOR THOSE SORT OF COLLABORATIVE
2 APPROACHES. VERY PRACTICAL THINGS LIKE LANDING ON
3 COMMON INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND ABILITY TO DO QC
4 ACROSS THE MULTIPLE SITES, THE VERY FUNDAMENTAL
5 MECHANICS NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE THAT OUTCOME.

6 I THINK THEN WITHIN THE CLINICAL PROGRAMS,
7 TO THE EXTENT YOU SORT OF SAY IS THERE LEVERAGE, I
8 THINK, AGAIN, THE CLINICAL PROGRAMS ARE SORT OF TO
9 SOME EXTENT THE LEVERAGE OR THE RESOURCE THAT CAN
10 THEN DRIVE THAT MACHINERY FORWARD IN THE CONTEXT OF
11 VERY SPECIFIC CLINICAL PROGRAMS. SO, AGAIN, I THINK
12 THAT'S ALL IN PLACE. I THINK TO THE EXTENT -- I
13 THINK WHAT WILL BE INTERESTING IS TO WHAT EXTENT
14 THIS WHOLE PROCESS, THIS FRAMEWORK, FROM SORT OF
15 CLINICAL THROUGH TO INFRASTRUCTURE, THEN POINTS US
16 TO WHAT OPPORTUNITIES SHOULD WE BE PURSUING. BUT I
17 THINK THE FOUNDATION IS SET FOR THAT OUTCOME. AND I
18 THINK IT'S CERTAINLY PART OF THE INTENT. IT WAS
19 CERTAINLY PART OF THE INTENT OF THE MANUFACTURING
20 NETWORK AND OUR VARIOUS INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS TO
21 POSITION US IN A WAY WHERE WE CAN TAKE THIS MORE
22 COORDINATED APPROACH.

23 DR. SANDMEYER: SO PRESSURE ON THAT ARM OF
24 THE PROGRAM SEEMS TO ME TO BE MORE APPROPRIATE THAN
25 EARLY STAGE INVESTIGATION. I AGREE WITH THE

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1 COMMENTS ABOUT EARLY STAGE INVESTIGATION. SPEAKING
2 AS A BASIC SCIENTIST, I THINK THAT TOO MUCH EMPHASIS
3 ON COMMERCIAL VALUE, IF YOU WILL, AT EARLY STAGES
4 MIGHT ACTUALLY LIMIT THE DIFFERENT APPROACHES THAT
5 ARE DISCOVERED IN EARLY STAGES AND THEREBY LEAD YOU
6 TO MISS SOME APPROACHES THAT MIGHT BE MORE EFFICIENT
7 IN THE LONG RUN. JUST A COMMENT THERE.

8 CHAIRWOMAN BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. IF
9 THERE ARE NO OTHER COMMENTS, I BELIEVE THIS SHOULD
10 BE THE END OF THE MEETING. THANK YOU ALL FOR
11 JOINING THIS MORNING AND FOR YOUR FEEDBACK.
12 APPRECIATE IT SO MUCH. AND GEOFF AND I WILL BE
13 REACHING OUT TO SOME OF YOU TO GET SOME
14 CLARIFICATION ON A FEW QUESTIONS. AND WE APPRECIATE
15 EVERYTHING. SO THANK YOU SO MUCH.

16 (THE MEETING WS THEN CONCLUDED AT 9:56 A.M.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON AUGUST 7, 2024, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152
133 HENNA COURT
SANDPOINT, IDAHO
(208) 920-3543